PPh₃-Catalyzed $(3 + 3)$ Annulations of 5‑Acetoxypenta-2,3-dienoate with 1C,3O-Bisnucleophiles: Facile Entry to Stable Monocyclic 2H‑Pyrans

LETTERS 2012 Vol. 14, No. 21 5530–5533

ORGANIC

Jian Hu, Wei Dong, Xin-Yan Wu, and Xiaofeng Tong*

Key Laboratory for Advanced Materials and Institute of Fine Chemicals, East China University of Science and Technology, Meilong Road No. 130, Shanghai, 200237, P. R. China

tongxf@ecust.edu.cn

Received September 24, 2012

Tertiary phosphine is an important class of Lewis base catalysts, $¹$ which has shown great potential for the catalysis</sup> of numerous reactions, such as the Morita-Baylis-Hillman reaction,² Rauhut–Currier reaction,³ Michael addition,⁴ etc.5 In this regard, phosphine catalysis of activated allene has attracted extensive attention, which strongly relies on, from the point view of reaction mechanism, the formation of zwitterionic intermediates $A1$ and $A2$ (Scheme 1).⁵

Recently, we have developed a novel intermediate B1 via a two-step process: addition of a phosphine catalyst to

10.1021/ol302634p r2012 American Chemical Society Published on Web 10/23/2012

Scheme 1. Phosphine-Catalyzed Reactions of Activated Allene $(E =$ activated group)

allenoate 1 and subsequent elimination of an acetate group. B1 has been proven to be a good 1,4-biselectrophilic intermediate for $(4 + 1)$ annulations (Scheme 1).⁶ Our continuing

⁽¹⁾ Denmark, S. E.; Beutner, G. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 1560.

⁽²⁾ For selected reviews, see: (a) Wei, Y.; Shi, M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2010, 43, 1005. (b) Basavaiah, D.; Reddy, B. S.; Badsara, S. S. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 5447. (c) Lu, J.; Toy, P. H. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 815. (d) Basavaiah, D.; Rao, A. J.; Satyanarayana, T. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 811. (e) Drewes, S. E.; Roos, G. H. P. Tetrahedron 1988, 44, 4653.

⁽³⁾ For representative examples, see: (a) Rauhut, M.; Currier, H. (American Cyanamide Co.) U.S. Patent 3,074,999, 1963. (b) McClure, J. D. J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 3045. (c) Wang, L.-C.; Luis, A. L.; Agapiou, K.; Jang, H.-Y.; Krische, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 2402. (d) Frank, S. A.; Mergott, D. J.; Roush, W. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 2404. (e) Aroyan, C. E.; Dermenci, A.; Miller, S. J. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 4069 and references therein.

efforts along this line allow us to focus on allenoate 2^7 . We envisioned that 2 would also be able to undergo a similar addition-elimination process to form intermediate B2 which might be labile for further transformations (Scheme 1). Herein, we report the phosphine-catalyzed $(3 + 3)$ annulations between allenoates 2a–2d and 1C,3Obisnucleophiles (Tables 1–3). Moreover, the $(3 + 3)$ annulations provide a facile entry to stable monocyclic 2H-pyrans which are greatly challenging targets in organic synthesis⁸ since they readily undergo a reversible electrocyclic ring opening 9 to 1-oxatrienes.

We realized at the outset that the electron-withdrawing effect of phosphinium and ester groups could enable the alkene(s) of B being attacked by a nucleophile. Thus, we began our investigation by treating the mixture of allenoate $2a$ (1.2 equiv) and PPh₃ (20 mol %) with pronucleophile 3a (1.0 equiv) and a base (Scheme 2). After several attempts, we were pleased to find that the PPh₃-catalyzed $(3 + 2)$ annulation between 2a and 3a could be achieved using NaOH (1.2 equiv) as a base in toluene at 80 $^{\circ}$ C and furan product 4aa was isolated in 70% yield. This transformation could be extended to pentane-2,4-dione 3b, although the corresponding product 4ab could not be isolated in a pure form (see Supporting Information). However, other 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds failed to achieve $(3 + 2)$ annulations under the conditions and complicated reactions were found in most cases.

(5) For reviews on phosphine catalysis, see: (a) Lu, X.; Zhang, C.; Xu, Z. $Acc.$ Chem. Res. $2001, 34, 535.$ (b) Methot, J. L.; Roush, W. R. $Adv.$ Synth. Catal. 2004, 346, 1035. (c) Lu, X.; Du, Y.; Lu, C. Pure Appl. Chem. 2005, 77, 1985. (d) Nair, V.; Menon, R. S.; Sreekanth, A. R.; Abhilash, N.; Biju, A. T. Acc. Chem. Res. 2006, 39, 520. (e) Ye, L.-W.; Zhou, J.; Tang, Y. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 1140. (f) Kwong, C. K.-W.; Fu, M. Y.; Lam, C. S.-L.; Toy, P. H. Synthesis 2008, 2307. (g) Sinisi, R.; Sun, J.; Fu, G. C. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2010, 107, 20652.

(6) Zhang, Q.; Yang, L.; Tong, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 2550. (7) Allenoates 2 can be readily synthesized based on Fu's procedure: Suarez, A.; Fu, G. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 3580.

(8) (a) Kuthan, J.; Sebek., P.; Boehm, S. Adv. Heterocycl. Chem. 1995, 62, 19. (b) Hepworth, J. D. In Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry; Katritzky, A. R., Rees, C. W., Boulton, A. J., McKillop, A., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, U.K., 1984; Vol. 3, p 737.

(9) (a) Kluge, A. F.; Lillya, C. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 4458. (b) Kluge, A. F.; Lillya, C. P. J. Org. Chem. 1971, 36, 1977. (c) Zhu, Y.; Ganapathy, S.; Liu, R. S. H. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 1110.

^{*a*} Reactins were conducted with 1.2 equiv of 2a and 1.0 equiv of 3, 16 h. b Isolated yield. c 1.0 equiv of HOAc was added.</sup></sup>

During the course of further optimization of reaction condition for the $(3 + 2)$ annulations, it was unexpected to find that, without any base additive, the furan product 4aa was not detected but the $(3 + 3)$ annulation product 2H-pyran 5aa was isolated instead (Table 1, run 1). Surprisingly, addition of HOAc (1 equiv) to the reaction of 2a and 3a improved the yield of 5aa from 22% to 58% under otherwise identical conditions (Table 1, run 2). However, it was found that the HOAc additive had no similar positive effect on the reaction of 2a and 3b; the yield of 5ab was 70% in the presence of HOAc while the corresponding yield was 75% in the absence of HOAc (Table 2, runs 3 and 4). Without the HOAc additive, the reaction of 2a and 3b took place smoothly even at room temperature to afford 5ab in 92% yield (Table 1, run 5). Further solvent screening proved that toluene was optimal and other solvents, such as THF, acetone, DCM, and MeCN, did not exhibit any superior performance in terms of reaction yield (Table 1, runs 6-9).

With these promising results in hand, we then turned our attentions toward investigating the reaction scope of $(3 + 3)$ annulations of 2a with various 1C,3O-bisnucleophiles, and the results are summarized in Table 2. 1,3-Dicarbonyl compounds 3c and 3d also smoothly underwent $(3 + 3)$ annulations with 2a to afford the corresponding products in high yields (Table 2, entries $1-3$). It should be noted that unsymmetrical 1,3-dicarbonyl compound 3c suffered regioselectively, affording two separable isomers 5ac-1 (49% yield) and 5ac-2 (42% yield) (Table 2, entry 2). 3-Oxo-esters **3e**-3h proved to be suitable partners for $(3 + 3)$ annulations with $2a$, leading to the corresponding $2H$ -pyran products in high yields (Table 2, entries 4-7).

To our delight, a variety of $δ$ -substituted allenoates, such as 2b, 2c, and 2d, were also suitable substrates for this process, and the desired $(3 + 3)$ annulation products 5ba-5de were obtained in good to excellent yields (Table3, entries 1-8). However, substrate 2e, with a phenyl group at

⁽⁴⁾ For representative examples, see: (a) White, D. A.; Baizer, M. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1973, 14, 3597. (b) Stewart, I. C.; Bergman, R. G.; Toste, F. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 8696. (c) Inanaga, J.; Baba, Y.; Hanamoto, T. Chem. Lett. 1993, 241. (d) Evans, P. A.; Roseman, J. D.; Garber, L. T. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 4880. (e) Yavari, I.; Hekmat-Shoar, R.; Zonouzi, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 2391. (f) Grossman, R. B.; Pendharkar, D. S.; Patrick, B. O. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 7178. (g) Szeto, J.; Sriramurthy, V.; Kwon, O. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 5420.

Table 2. Reaction Scope of $(3 + 3)$ Annulations of 2a with $1C,3O-B$ isnucleophiles^a

	2a R^1 3	R^2	R١ 20 mol % PPh ₃ toluene, rt, 14 h 5	R^2 CO ₂ Et
entry	3		5	yield (%) ^b
1		3 _b	5ab (R^2 = Me)	92
\overline{a}	Ph	3 _c	5ac-1 $(R^2 = Ph)$ 5ac-2 (R^2 = Me)	49 42
3	Ph Ph	3d	5ad ($R^2 = Ph$)	87
4	OMe	3e	5ae (R^2 = OMe)	93
5	OEt 3f 72		5af $(R^2 = OEt)$	84
6	Ph OMe	3g	5ag (R^2 = OMe)	82
7	OEt Ph	3h	5ah ($R^2 = OEt$)	91

 a^a Reaction conditions: for details please see the Supporting Information. ^b Isolated yield.

the δ -position, did not give the corresponding product (Table 3, entry 9). In all cases of the $(3 + 3)$ annulations, no $(3 + 2)$ annulation products were detected.

While the mechanisms of these two unprecedented annulations, especially the intriguing divergence in annulations, have not been firmly established, we believed that the reactions were initiated by the addition of $PPh₃$ to $2a$, resulting in zwitterion A3 and A4 formation (Scheme 3). The installation of an acetate group at the δ -position would facilitate the process of 1,2-elimination to generate intermediate **B2**, which is believed to be electrophilic due to the electron-withdrawing effect of phosphinium and ester groups. Michael addition of 3a to B2 and subsequent resonance would result in the formation of intermediate **D.**¹⁰ Under basic conditions, the carbanion of **D** would selectively abstract H^1 to form zwitterions E1 and E2. The carbanion of E2 might serve as a base to promote oxo-Michael addition in 5-endo fashion to generate G via intermediate F, which was followed by 1,2-elimination of the PPh₃ catalyst to release the $(3 + 2)$ annulation product. On the other hand, under acidic conditions, the carbanion of D would undergo intermolecular hydrogen atom abstraction from HOAc to generate H. Selective deprotonation of H^2 of intermediate H would result in the formation

 α ^aFor reaction conditions, see the Supporting Information. β Isolated yield. \degree 1.0 equiv of HOAc was added, and the reaction was run at 50 \degree C.

Scheme 3. Proposed Catalytic Cycles (with 2a and 3a as substrates)

of intermediate I. Then, a 6-endo oxo-Michael addition would occur to form intermediate J, which was followed by 1,2-proton transfer and 1,2-elimination of the phosphine catalyst to generate the $(3 + 3)$ annulation product.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Wang, Q.; Khoury, M. E.; Schlosser, M. Chem.--Eur. J. 2000, 6, 420.

To provide more information about the reaction mechanism, a series of deuterium-labeling studies were conducted. The reaction of 2a and deuterated 3a-d produced partially deuterated product $[D_1]$ -4aa with incorporation of deuterium at the C2 position (Scheme 4, entry 1). When $D₂O$ (1 equiv) was added into the reaction of 2a and 3a, $[D_1]$ -4aa with incorporation of deuterium into the C2 position was also obtained (Scheme 4, entry 2). In these two cases, no deuterium was found to be incorporated at the C1 position. These results exclude the possibility that the hydrogen atom of the C1 position stems from 3a or the reaction medium, which are consistent with the presumption that the carbanion of **D** would selectively abstract $H¹$ by way of intramolecular H-transfer.¹¹

In the case of $(3 + 3)$ annulations, the reaction of 2a and 3a in the presence of CD_3CO_2D (1 equiv) gave $[D_3]$ -5aa

with incorporation of deuterium at the C1, C2, and C3 positions (eq 1).¹² The presence of deuterium at the C1 position of $[D_3]$ -5aa partially supported the mechanism of intermolecular proton transfer of intermediate D under acidic conditions. Furthermore, the presence of deuterium at the C2 position inspired us to propose that zwitterions A3 and A4 would be protonated by AcOH, leading to the formation of intermediates L and M, respectively (Scheme 3), which indicated that 1,2-elimination of the acetate group might be a slow step. The absence of deuterium at the C1 position of $[D_1]$ -4aa implied that $H₂O$ would not be able to promote similar protonation processes.

In the absence of AcOH, the carbanion of intermediate **D** selectively abstracted $H¹$ likely due to the formation of more stable zwitterions E1 and E2, leading to $(3 + 2)$ annulation. In the presence of AcOH, intermediate D would undergo intermolecular proton transfer preferentially to form intermediate H whose H^2 was abstracted in turn, resulting in $(3 + 3)$ annulation. Thus, AcOH seems to serve as a switch of proton transfer processes and play essential roles in the divergence in annulations.¹³

In summary, we have developed the phosphinecatalyzed $(3 + 2)$ and $(3 + 3)$ annulations between allenoate 2 and 1C,3O-bisnucleophiles; the former are favored with the assistance of a base additive while the latter are achieved under acidic reaction conditions. The transformations provide facile access to two important classes of heterocycles, furan and 2H-pyran, respectively. The mechanistic investigations disclosed that the divergence in annulations might be strongly dependent on the involved proton transfer processes. Further understanding of the mechanism via computational studies and the development of an enantioselective variant are being pursued and will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgment. The financial support from NSFC (No. 21002025), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, Shanghai Rising-Star Program (11QA1401700), and Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (20100074120014) are highly appreciated.

Supporting Information Available. Experimental procedures and copies of NMR spectra for all new products. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

⁽¹¹⁾ For the deuterium-labeling studies as shown in Scheme 4, the following two issues should be noted: (1) Unfortunately, we failed to synthesize α -deuterated 2a at this stage. (2) The less deuterium incorporation at C1 position might also result from the shorter lifetime of intermediate D.

⁽¹²⁾ **3a**-d was also employed to probe the $(3 + 3)$ annulation mechanism. For details, please see the Supporting Information. However, we found that $3a$ was obtained instead when $3a-d$ was stirred in toluene solution in the presence of AcOH (1 equiv) and NaOAc $(20 \text{ mol } %)$ for 1 h.

⁽¹³⁾ In recent computational and experimental studies, Yu, Kwon and their co-workers found that water plays an important role on the proton transfer processes to facilitate phosphine-catalyzed $[3 + 2]$ cycloaddition: (a) Xia, Y.; Liang, Y.; Chen, Y.; Wang, M.; Jiao, L.; Huang, F.; Liu, S.; Li, Y.; Yu, Z.-X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 3470. (b) Mercier, E.; Fonovic, B.; Henry, C.; Kwon, O.; Dudding, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 3617. (c) Liang, Y.; Liu, S.; Yu, Z.-X. Synlett
2009, 905.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.